

Measuring money... faster, cheaper, cleaner


 PUBLISHER OF:
Money Fund Intelligence™

Crane Data Subscribers

User ID: Password:

[Home](#) | [News](#) | [Resources](#) | [Products](#) | [Subscribers](#) | [Search](#) | [Contact](#) | [Wisdom](#)

Oct 19 11

Facts Missing From WSJ's Hidden Dangers Article, Says ICI's McNamee

Money market fund professionals continue to express outrage and disappointment over [The Wall Street Journal's latest attack on money funds](#), yesterday's "Hidden Dangers Lurking In Money-Market Funds". (See yesterday's "[Link of the Day](#).") The Investment Company Institute's Senior Director for Policy Writing and Editorial [Mike McNamee](#) posted a response entitled, "The Facts Missing From a Wall Street Journal Column on Money Market Funds." He writes, "**Misinformation lurks in a recent column from Wall Street Journal Money & Investing editor Francesco Guerrera, "Hidden Dangers Lurking in Money Market Funds."** Given the vital role that money market funds play in our economy, regulators and investors alike need the best information possible on this topic. **So let's correct the record with a few key facts.**"

ICI says, "**Fact: Money market funds are transparent, and their risks are clearly disclosed.** Guerrera writes that "Individual investors and companies perceive money-market funds as 'safe'... This attitude overlooks the fact that, unlike banks, these deposits aren't federally insured so in the event of a run, investors are at risk of losing money." **Yet nearly every communication from a money market fund tells investors that these funds not insured or guaranteed.** Investors receive thorough disclosure of their risks. **And with current portfolio disclosure -- every money market fund is required to list every security it owns -- money market funds are by far the most transparent investment available."**

The piece continues, "**Fact: Investors value money market funds because of their convenience, stability, diversification, and regulatory framework.** Despite what they know about those risks -- and despite yields stuck near zero for months -- **investors still have entrusted \$2.5 trillion to money market funds.** Why? Corporations, state and local governments, and nonprofit institutions, as well as groups representing individual investors, have told regulators again and again that they value money market funds for convenience, stability, diversification, and regulatory protections. You can find their voices at preservemoneymarketfunds.org."

McNamee also writes, "**Fact: Managers of money market funds are managing risks.** Guerrera writes that investors in Europe's banks are "spooked" by the pullback of funding from U.S. money market funds and frets that those banks are too dependent on short-term financing. Look at the level of sovereign debt in some European countries, the political crisis those debts are causing, and the amount of those debts on the books of European banks. **It takes quite a stretch of the imagination to assert that those banks' stocks are falling because U.S. money market funds are adjusting their holdings."**

He adds, "**It's true that the Europe debt crisis has put a spotlight on U.S. money market funds' role in funding those banks.** But fixing the banks' funding formulas is a matter for bank regulators to address. Money market funds, as we've documented, are doing their job of managing risks for their investors."

The ICI response continues, "**Fact: The fund industry is working with regulators to advance sound ideas to make money market funds more resilient without harming their value to the economy.** Since 2008, the fund industry has been deeply engaged in constructive dialogue with regulators. The first result was a package of reforms, completed in 2010, that brought higher credit quality, shorter maturities, more transparency, and higher levels of liquidity to money market funds. The industry continues to work toward strengthening this essential component of America's economy and finances. See our record at www.ici.org/mrms."

Finally, it says, "**Fact: Forcing money market funds to "float" their value is the worst possible idea for reform.** Guerrera gets this one right, and we're glad to see the [Wall Street Journal](#) looking for "more viable" solutions. **We just wish the good sense wasn't buried amid so much misinformation.**" (See other [Comments to the Journal's article here](#), including one from [Crane Data's Peter Crane](#).)

Money Market News Archive

List News Archives by Title Only »

2011	2010	2009
October	December	December
September	November	November
August	October	October
July	September	September
June	August	August
May	July	July
April	June	June
March	May	May
February	April	April
January	March	March
	February	February
	January	January
2008	2007	2006
December	December	December
November	November	November
October	October	October
September	September	September
August	August	
July	July	
June	June	
May	May	
April	April	
March	March	
February	February	
January	January	

[« Back To Archives](#)

Email This Article

Your Name:

Your Email:

Recipient Email(s):

Use a comma or a semicolon to separate

Please retype symbols
from the image:



Optional Message

Send